

Shri Amit Kumar, S/o Shri Ramesh Kumar, R/o Flat No. C-4, Marvel Homes, Barewal Road, Ludhiana.

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 3983 of 2020

Present:- Shri Amit Kumar, appellant is present. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge, RTI Cell is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

#### ORDER

Shri Amit Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

# Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information comprising of 31 pages has been provided to the appellant by the SHO, Police Station, Division No. 5 Ludhiana.

The appellant stated that he has received the information, but the same is not attested by the SPIO and requested that attested copies of information be provided to him.

So, the SPIO is directed that the attested copies of sought for information be provided to the appellant within two days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 26.05.2021

Shri Bharat Puri, S/o Shri Ramesh Puri, Chamber No. 277, New Courts, Backside Hotel New President Court, Jalandhar City.



.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 4123 of 2020

Vs

Present:-Shri Bharat Puri, appellant is absent.<br/>S.I. Balwinder Kumar- Belt No. 2487 is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o<br/>Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

#### ORDER

Shri Bharat Puri, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the appellant sought information regarding FIR No. 101 dated 19.08.2020 registered at Police Station, Division No. 4, Jalandhar U/s 341/506/34 IPC. He further stated that after investigation the cancellation report is prepared which is yet to be presented before the Ilaqa Magistrate and after presenting the report before the Illaqa Magistrate, the copies of the sought for information will be sent to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed that whenever the cancellation report is to be submitted before the IIaqa Magistrate, then within 7 days the attested copies of documents sought by the appellant be sent to him through registered post and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is disposed of and closed.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 26.05.2021

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), S/o Shri Sham Lal Garg, H. No. 40, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

# PSiC visite Mormation

.....Complainant.

.....Respondent

# Complaint Case No. 982 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, complainant/applicant is absent. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

#### ORDER

Shri Arun Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.03.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated the complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 01.03.2019 and the point-wise information/reply has been sent to him vide letter no. 737 dated 14.03.2019 and then again the appellant has received the information by hand on 24.05.2019.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. AC 982/20 dated 24.05.2021 along with copy of RTI application dated 01.03.2019, copy of letter no. 737 dated 14.03.2019, copy of receipt duly signed by complainant/applicant and a copy of letter no. 9/CCR dated 14.03.2019.

The complainant-applicant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission in which he submitted that no reply has been provided to him by the SPIO.

As per the reply of the SPIO that the information has been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no.737 dated 14.03.2019 and then again the complainant/applicant has received the information by hand on 24.05.2019, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. In case the complainant-applicant is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 15 days. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.** 



Shri Arun Garg (Er.), S/o Shri Sham Lal Garg, H. No. 40, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

.....Complainant.

# Complaint Case No. 983 of 2020

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Arun Garg, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

#### ORDER

Shri Arun Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.03.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated the complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 01.03.2019 and the point-wise information/reply has been sent to him vide letter no. 736 dated 14.03.2019 and then again the appellant has received the information by hand on 24.05.2019.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. AC 983/20 dated 24.05.2021 along with copy of RTI application dated 01.03.2019, copy of letter no. 736 dated 14.03.2019, copy of receipt duly signed by complainant/applicant and a copy of letter no. 8/CCR dated 14.03.2019.

The complainant-applicant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission in which he submitted that no reply has been provided to him by the SPIO.

As per the reply of the SPIO that the information has been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no.736 dated 14.03.2019 and then again the complainant/applicant has received the information by hand on 24.05.2019, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. In case the complainant-applicant is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the SPIO, then he is at liberty to file first appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 15 days. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.** 



Ms. Deepa, C/o Nagpal Property Agency, # 6043-P.No. 134, Hargobind Nagar, Hanuman Mandir Road, Near Harmilap Kariyana Store, Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

# Complaint Case No. 24 of 2021

**Present:-** Ms. Deepa, complainant/applicant is absent. None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ldh.

#### ORDER

Ms. Deepa, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 02.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana.

# Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The complainant-applicant though is absent, but she has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that no information regarding pare no. 1 of the RTI application has been provided to her.

The Commission has received a report dated 20.01.2021 sent by the SPIO, which is in the file of the Commission. It is submitted by the SPIO that the information regarding para no. 1 of the RTI application cannot be provided to the complainant-applicant as the investigation is still under progress and after completion of the investigation the challan will be prepared and after that the sought for information will be provided to the applicant.

So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the challan will be prepared after the investigation, then the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the complainant-applicant through registered post within a week and compliance report sent back to the Commission.

Dated: 26.05.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Manjinder Kumar, S/o Shri Luxman Singh, Village Pensra, H.No. 120, P.O. Majara Dingriya, Tehsil Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

(Regd.) Public Information Officer, O/o SDM, Garhshankar.

.....Respondent

.....Complainant.

# Complaint Case No. 947 of 2020

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Manjinder Kumar, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Bhupinder Singh, Jr.Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SDM, Garhshankar,

#### ORDER

Shri Manjinder Kumar, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.10.2020 before the SPIO, O/o SDM, Garhshankar.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent O/o SDM, Garhshankar is present and he stated that the information sought by the complainant/applicant regarding point no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application is not related with this office, rather it relates with the office of Treasury Office, Garhshankar and the reply regarding this has already been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 82 dated 09.12.2020. He further stated that the information regarding point no. 3 of the RTI application has been provided to the complainant-applicant by the office of BDPO, Garhshankar vide letter no.2860 dated 23.11.2020.

I have gone through the Order dated 08.03.2021 and on that date Shri Mehakmeet Singh Gill, BDPO, Garhshankar was present and he stated that the information related with point nos. 1 and 2 of the RTI application does not relate with his office, rather it is related with the office of SDM, Garhshankar.

As the information sought by the applicant regarding point no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application does not relate with the office of BDPO, Garhshankar as well as with the office of SDM, Garhshankar, rather, it relates with the office of Treasury Office, Garhshankar. So, the applicant is advised to file fresh RTI application before the concerned public authority. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.